**PoA Design Consultation Report**

###### PUBLICATION DATE **14.10.2020**VERSION **v. 1.1**

### KEY PROJECT INFORMATION

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| GS ID of PoA |  |
| Title of PoA |  |
| GS ID (s) of C/VPA (s) submitted at PoA Preliminary Review |  |
| Version number of the DCR |  |
| Completion Date of version |  |
| Time of First Submission Date  |  |
| Tentative Implementation date of the Programme |  |
| Design Consultation Start and End Date |  |
| Date of any Physical Meeting (s) |  |

#### SECTION A. DESIGN OF STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

##### A.1. Description of the consultation methods used

*>>*

*Describe all methods used, explicitly stating whether a physical meeting was organized, if feedback was collected electronically, or a combination of both.*

##### A. 2. Information Made Available to Stakeholders

*>>*

*Report on the key programme information shared with stakeholders, including: details of each technology/practice covered by the Programme, the implementation plan, consultations planned (e.g. at programme or VPA/CPA level only), geographical scope of the programme, entities involved, interaction with other similar initiatives/programmes in overlapping geographical boundaries.*

##### A.3. Invitation/consultation tracking table

*A Gold Standard representative should be invited by email* *help@goldstandard.org*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Organisation (if relevant) | Name of invitee | Method of invitation | Date of invitation (>30 days before any meeting or e-consultation) | Date of Follow up (essential for e-consultations) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

#### SECTION B. OUTCOME OF THE CONSULTATION PROCESS

##### B.1. Assessment of comments received

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Organization | Stakeholder comment | Was comment taken into account (Yes/ No)? | Explanation (Why? How?)  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

##### B.2. Report on Level of consultations

*>>*

*Feedback obtained from stakeholders on the level of consultation proposed (i.e. whether activity level stakeholder consultations should be carried out, or if a programme consultation is sufficient)*

##### B.3. Summary of changes to the Programme design based on stakeholder feedback received.

*>>*

*This must account for potential synergies and conflicts identified with similar programmes and for any feedback on the level of consultations proposed.*

**Revision History**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Date** | **Remarks** |
| 1.1 | 14 October 2020 | Addition of version history tableRemoval of Section A which repeats information in the POA-DDClarification on reporting information made available for stakeholdersClarity on minimum period for invitation and consultation and that follow up is necessary for e-consultationsClarity that a GS representative should be invited |
| 1.0 | 10 July 2017 | Initial adoption |