

## TEMPLATE

# **DEVIATION REQUEST FORM**

#### PUBLICATION DATE 11.04.2021

Version 5.0

## A. To be completed by Gold Standard

## 1 Decision

1.1 | Date - 06/03/2024

#### 1.2 | Decision

The deviation is approved.

The request demonstrates valid reason for non-compliance with site visit requirements, as required by RU APPLICABILITY OF MINIMUM SITE VISIT REQUIREMENTS BY VVB.

PD shall document the decision in the Monitoring Report.

# **1.3** | Is this decision applicable to other project activities under similar circumstances?

No.

## B. To be completed by the Project Developer/Coordinating and Managing Entity and/or VVB requesting deviation (Submit deviation request form in Microsoft Word format)

## 2| Background information

Deviation Reference Number	DEV_635	
Date of decision	06/03/2024	
Precedent (YES/NO)	No	
Precedent details		
Date of submission	29/02/2024	
Project/PoA/VPA	Project	ID – G11729
FIOJECT/FOA/VFA		ID - GSXXXX
		ID - GSXXXX
Project/PoA/VPA title	Agasco Improve	d Cookstoves Nigeria
Date of listing	06/10/2022	_
GS Standard version	GHG Emissions	Reductions & Sequestration Product
applicable	Requirements V	ersion 2.0
	GS2GG Principle	s & Requirements Version 1.2
Date of transition to GS4GG (if applicable)		
Date of transition to Gold Standard from another standard (e.g. CDM) (if applicable)		
Date of design certification/inclusion (if applicable)	14/07/2023	
Location of project/PoA/VPA	Nigeria	
Scale of the project/PoA/VPA	<ul> <li>□ Microscale</li> <li>□ Small scale</li> <li>⊠ Large scale</li> </ul>	
Gold Standard Impact Registry link of the project/PoA/VPA	https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/3 734	
Status of the project/PoA/VPA	<ul> <li>□ New</li> <li>□ Listed</li> <li>⊠ Certified designed</li> <li>□ Certified project</li> </ul>	ect
Title/subject of deviation	Remote Site Visit	
Specify applicable rule/requirements/methodolo gy, with exact paragraph reference and version number	Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures Version 2.0 para 3.1.1, para 3.2.2 Principles & Requirements Version 1.2 para 5.1.26 Applicability of Minimum Site Visit Requirements by VVB para 2.1.1.A	
Specify the monitoring period for which the request is valid (if applicable)	Start date 10/01/2023End date 10/01/2024(inclusive of first and last date)(First Verification / Performance Review)	

Submitted by	Contact person name: Chelsea Woodruff
	Email ID: chelsea.woodruff@agasco.com
	Organisation: Agasco
	Project participant: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\Box$
Validation and Verification body (VVB opinion shall be	Yes ⊠ No □
included, where required by the applicable rules/requirements or request	If yes; VVB name: Earthood
is submitted by the VVB).	VVB Staff name(s): Sushant Vashisht
Any previous deviations approved for the same project activity/PoA/VPA(s)?	Yes □ No ⊠

## 3 Deviation detail

#### 3.1 | Description of the deviation:

\*Guidance\* Use the space below to describe the deviation and substantiate the reason for requesting deviation from applicable rules/requirements. Please include all relevant information in support of the request. You are requested to follow the principles for requesting deviations, given in the <u>Deviation Approval Procedure/</u><u>Design Change Requirements.</u>

3.1.1 | Deviation detail (to be completed by Project developer):

As per Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures version 2.0 para 3.1.1, at minimum, the VVB shall conduct physical site visit within two years of project start date. Para 3.2.2, a physical site visit by VVB is mandatory at the first verification of a project.

As per para 5.1.26 of Principles & Requirements V 1.2, verification includes a site visit by a VVB who assesses the monitoring report and all supporting evidence and documents.

According to Applicability of Minimum Site Visit Requirements by VVB, para 2.1.1.A, Force-Majeure, an event beyond the control of the project developer and not involving the developer's fault or negligence and not foreseeable, may result in non-compliance with site visit requirements. Therefore, a deviation to address a non-compliance with the minimum site requirement is requested due to safety concerns of persons travelling to Nigeria. Whilst the PDD includes the northern states of Bauchi, Borno, Gombe, Taraba and Yobe, the deviation request corresponds to the States of Bauchi and Taraba where the project activity has been implemented in 2023 during this monitoring period.

Travel advisories from the United Kingdom Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, the United States Department of State Bureau of Consular Affairs, and Switzerland Federal Department of Foreign Affairs – to Nigeria is not advised due to crime and high risk of kidnapping.



Please note Briefing Maps are not to be taken as necessarily representing the views of the UK government on boundaries or political status. This map has been designed for briefing purposes only and should not be used for determining the precise location of places or features, or considered an authority on the delimitation of international boundaries or on the spelling of place and feature nam OCrown Courricht 2023

Source: Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO). October 2023. https://www.gov.uk/foreign-travel-advice/nigeria

## Areas where FCDO advises against travel



Your travel insurance could be invalidated if you travel against FCDO advice.

#### North East Nigeria

FCDO advises against all travel to:

- Borno State
- Yobe State
- Adamawa State
- Gombe State

FCDO advises against all but essential travel to:

- Bauchi State
- Taraba State

Nigeria Travel Advisory

	Travel.State.Gov U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE — BUREAU OF	CONSULAR AFFAIRS			an ander		
	U.S. Passports	International Travel	U.S. Visas	Intercountry Adoption	International Parental Child Abduction	Replace or Certify Documents	
Travel.State.Gov.>	<u>Travel Advisories</u> > Nigeria Travel Advisory						Share this page

Travel Advisory September 20, 2023	Nigeria - Level 3: Reconsider Travel	00000
Updated with return to full ope	rational status, removal of obsolete COVID-19 page links, and updates to Do Not Travel Areas.	Exercise normal precautions
Reconsider travel to Nigeria d Travel Advisory.	ue to crime, terrorism, civil unrest, kidnapping, and armed gangs. Some areas have increased risk. Reac	d the entire <b>2</b> Exercise increased caution
Do Not Travel to:		3 Reconsider travel
<ul> <li>Borno, Yobe, Kogi, ar</li> </ul>	id northern Adamawa states due to terrorism and kidnapping	
Bauchi, Gombe, Kad	una, Kano, Katsina, Sokoto, and Zamfara states due to kidnapping	4. Do not travel
<ul> <li>Abia, Anambra, Baye gangs.</li> </ul>	Isa, Delta, Enugu, Imo, and Rivers states (with the exception of Port Harcourt) due to <b>crime, kidnapping</b>	g, and armed
	robbery, assault, carjacking, kidnapping, hostage taking, roadside banditry, and rape – is common thre	
	m occur frequently, often targeting dual national citizens who have returned to Nigeria for a visit, as w . Kidnapping gangs have also stopped victims on interstate roads.	Vell as U.S. Embassy Abuja 1075 Diplomatic Drive
narkets, hotels, places of wor	d carrying out attacks in Nigeria. Terrorists may attack with little or no warning, targeting shopping cen ship, restaurants, bars, schools, government installations, transportation hubs, and other places where work with local ganas to expand their reach.	nters, malls, Central District Area, Abuja
, There is civil unrest and arme	, work with focal gangs to expand their react. I gangs in parts of Southern Nigeria, especially in the Niger Delta and Southeast regions. Armed crimin nd assaults on Nigerian security services is also pervasive in this region.	+234 209 461 4328 (Monday throu Thursday, 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; F 7:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.)
5 5 7 7 5	communities of farmers and herders in rural areas.	✓ Emergency +234 209 461 4000
The U.S. government has limit	ed ability to provide emergency services to U.S. citizens in many areas of Nigeria due to security condi	Itions No Fax
de elle gerennent nue		Itions. Emeil AbujaACS@state.gov

https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/international-travel/International-Travel-

Country-Information-Pages/Nigeria.html

#### **TEMPLATE - DEVIATION REQUEST FORM V4.0**

Confédération suis Confederazione Sv	Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft     Federal Department of     Hel       Confederatione Svizzera     Foreign Affairs FDFA     Hel       Confederaziun svizra     Foreign Affairs FDFA     Hel			Helpline Job
EDA	Foreign policy	Travel advice & representation	Living and working abroad	Entry and stay in Switzerlan
		Sokoto, Katsina, Kano, Ji Yobe is not recommende	ntry: Travel to the states of K gawa, Bauchi, Gombe, Adam ed. Tourist and other non-urg ern half of the country (Kebk	nawa, Borno and gent trips to the
		have already claimed tho injured in explosive attac security forces have mass	to break out in several north usands of lives. People were i ks and armed attacks by terro ively increased their presence attacks are to be expected.	regularly killed or prist groups. The
			or local and foreign people is ntry. Examples of kidnappings	, ,

Source: Switzerland Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (FDFA). December 2023 <u>https://www.fdfa.admin.ch/countries/nigeria/de/home/reisehinweise/vor-ort.html</u>

3.1.2 | VVB opinion (to be completed by VVB, if applicable):

\**Guidance*\* *If required by SustainCERT or Gold Standard for this particular deviation, please add here the VVB's opinion.* 

In the VVB's opinion, as outlined in Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures version 2.0, paragraph 3.1.1 stipulates that a physical site visit should be conducted within two years of the project start date. Additionally, according to paragraph 3.2.2, a mandatory physical site visit by the VVB is required for the first verification of a project.

As reflected in paragraph 5.1.26 of Principles & Requirements V 1.2, the verification involves a site visit where VVB assesses the monitoring report along with all supporting evidence and documents.

The VVB believes, as per Applicability of Minimum Site Visit Requirements, paragraph 2.1.1.A, acknowledges that unforeseeable events beyond the control of the project developer, such as Force-Majeure, may lead to non-compliance with site visit requirements.

Therefore, VVB requests a deviation to address non-compliance with the minimum site visit requirement, emphasizing safety concerns for individuals traveling to Nigeria, specifically in the States of Bauchi and Taraba where the project has been implemented in 2023 during this monitoring period.

Considering security advisories by the High commission of India<sup>1</sup>, United Kingdom Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, the United States Department of State Bureau of Consular Affairs, and Switzerland Federal Department of Foreign Affairs, the VVB holds the opinion that traveling to Nigeria is not advised due to ongoing agitations in southeast and also the high risk of crime and kidnapping.

#### 3.2 | Assessment of the deviation:

\**Guidance*\* Use the space below to describe how the deviation complies with the requirements, and, where applicable, the accuracy, completeness and conservativeness is ensured. Please include all relevant information in support of the request.

Due to the safety concerns highlighted by the travel advisories of the United Kingdom, United States and Switzerland travelling to Bauchi and Taraba, a remote site visit with interviews conducted via phone call with end users to complete the household audit is requested.

As per Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures version 2.0, the project developer shall request a remote audit, and the VVB shall assess the feasibility of a remote audit according to current site visit requirements. The VVB will then decide whether to conduct a remote audit or use any remote audit techniques.

All planning, scheduling, and carrying out of the hybrid remote audit will be done in line with para 6.4 of Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures version 2.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> High commission of India, Abuja, Nigeria: - https://hciabuja.gov.in/listarchview/OTI

As per para 2.C, remote audit techniques include phone calls, video calls, video recordings, geological data and geoinformation systems, etc. They may be used in remote audits as well as in audits involving a physical site visit.

Therefore, the deviation of a remote site visit with interviews conducted via phone calls with end users complies with the requirements of the Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures V 2.0.

3.2.1 | Deviation assessment (to be completed by Project developer):

Due to travel advisory from Swiss FDFA and UK FCDO advising against all but essential travel to Bauchi State and Taraba State, as well as the United States State Department listing Bauchi State as level 4 Do Not Travel to due to kidnapping and other safety concerns, this deviation request has been assessed to be necessary to ensure the safety of all persons taking part in the verification site visit.

3.2.2 | VVB opinion (to be completed by VVB, if applicable):

\**Guidance*\* If required by SustainCERT or Gold Standard for this particular deviation, please add here the VVB's opinion.

In consideration of travel advisories issued by the High Commission of India, the Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (FDFA), and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO) advising against non-essential travel Bauchi State, in addition to the United States State Department's designation of Bauchi State and Taraba State, as level 4 Do Not Travel areas due to risks including kidnapping and other safety concerns, the deviation request has been formulated to prioritize the safety and well-being of all participants involved in the verification site visit.

#### 3.3 | Impact of the deviation:

\**Guidance*\* Use the space below to describe the impact of the deviation on project design, safeguarding principles assessment, SDG assessment, emissions reductions, monitoring frequency, data quality, potential risk or any other relevant aspect of the project. Please substantiate the impact assessment with relevant and verifiable data/information.

3.3.1 | Impact assessment (to be completed by Project developer):

The deviation will not have a negative impact on project design, safeguarding principles assessment, SDG assessment, emission reductions, monitoring frequency, data quality, potential risks or any other relevant aspect of the project.

3.3.2 | VVB opinion (to be completed by VVB, if applicable ):

\**Guidance*\* *If required by SustainCERT or Gold Standard for this particular deviation, please add here the VVB's opinion.* 

# Risk associated to the non-conduction of mandatory physical on-site inspection for verification.

Sr. No	Identification of potential risks	Mitigation measures	Risk Mitigated
1.	Risk associated to verify project implementation and operation with respect to the registered/included documents (PDD/PoA DD, CPADD)	During remote interviews by means of using audio/video call (as feasible) and real time photographs at the time of remote inspection, the name plate which includes capacities/unique ID can be checked. Cross checking the same through other relevant documents such as statutory clearances & project database. Logbooks/grievances mechanism book can be checked remotely at the head office of Roshan Renewables, either synchronously (in real time) or asynchronously (when applicable) can also be checked by Remote audit at the head office.	Yes NO
2	Risk associated to verify implemented monitoring plan with the registered/included documents (PDD/PoA- DD, VPA-DD) and applied	This risk can be mitigated by conducting remote interview via audio/video call with end users to cross check the Monitoring parameters described in the registered PDD. Additionally, the documents will be cross checked during the Remote audit at the head office of Agasco Ltd/ Roshan Renewables by the Audit team.	<ul><li>☑ Yes</li><li>☑ NO</li></ul>

3	Risk associated to verify that the actual monitoring systems and procedures comply with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan.	This risk can be mitigated during remote interview video call/video recording/a real time photo of the monitoring equipment along with make and model, to check whether calibration of each of the measuring equipment is done at intervals specified in the registered document (PDD/PoA-DD/VPA-DD). Remote Interview will be conducted at Agasco. Ltd/Roshan renewables head office with the relevant personnel to ensure that the calibration procedures are being followed as per the registered monitoring plan.	⊠ Yes □ NO
4	Risk associated to evaluate the GHG emission reduction data and express a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance on whether the reported GHG emission reduction data is free from material misstatement.	The identified risk can be mitigated by managing access to the records during audio/video with Roshan renewables & Agasco. It can be verified whether a project has adequate controls related to data changes/updates, version tracking, traceability, security and weather data is reproduceable from the sample sheet. Furthermore, data quality control personnel can also be interviewed remotely to establish the level of assurance.	✓ Yes
5	Risk associated to verify that reported GHG emission data is sufficiently supported by evidence.	The identified risk can be mitigated during remote interview by asking for a complete set of data for the monitoring period and information provided in the monitoring report can be cross-checked with other sources such as electricity/heat sales receipts/logs. To check weather, calculations of baseline emissions and emission reduction have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the monitoring plan and the applied methodology. Furthermore, appropriate/correct emission factor value has been applied or not.	⊠ Yes

6	Any outstanding FAR(s)/pending issue (s) since the previous physical site visit.	The identified risk is mitigated by reviewing the validation report and found that no FAR is raised during the design review.	⊠ Yes □ NO
7	Any gaps in monitoring data, if any, that cannot be justified as per applicable requirements.	As per the shared data no such gap exists for the proposed monitoring period as this is the first verification of the project.	⊠ Yes
8	Any design changes(s)/temporary deviation(s) since the previous physical site visit.	The identified risk is mitigated by reviewing the validation report and found that design change is not available.	⊠ Yes □ NO
9	Sustainable development goals targeted under the project activity	As per the registered PDD, there are 8 SDG claimed & validated by the VVB. The identified risk is mitigated by reviewing the validation report and supporting evidence which will be crosschecked during the Remote Audit to the head office of Agasco Ltd & Roshan renewables.	⊠ Yes

VVB believes, it is opined that the deviation will not adversely affect the project design, assessment of Implementation of the project, safeguarding principles, evaluation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), attainment of emission reductions, frequency of monitoring, data quality, potential risks, or any other pertinent aspect of the project.

#### 3.4 | Documents:

\**Guidance*\* List of documents provided (note that once a decision has been made by Gold Standard, this deviation form along with supporting documents will be made public on the Gold Standard website. If any of the supporting documents are confidential, please indicate here to ensure they are omitted.)

Version number	Release date	Description
5	11.04.2022	<ul> <li>Additional information added:</li> <li>date of listing, design certification, transition</li> <li>standard version</li> <li>specific reference to a requirement deviated from</li> <li>any previous deviations/design changes approved</li> <li>Guidance on VVB opinion</li> </ul>
4	14.01.2021	
3	16.07.2020	
2	03.05.2018	
1	01.07.2017	Initial adoption