

FORM

FORM - DEVIATION REQUEST SUBMISSION

PUBLICATION DATE: 12/11/2024

VERSION: 6.0

NEXT PLANNED UPDATE: 12/11/2026

RELATED DOCUMENTS

- <u>Deviations Approval Requirements and Procedures</u>

CONTACT DETAILS

The Gold Standard Foundation
International Environment House 2
Chemin de Balexert 7-9
1219 Châtelaine Geneva, Switzerland
Tel +41 22 788 70 80
Email help@goldstandard.org

1 | General Guidelines

1.1 | Applicability

- 1.1.1 | This form is to be completed for projects (project activities/PoAs/VPAs) seeking deviation or is/are at a risk of deviating from any <u>applicable</u> requirements, GS4GG-specific requirements listed in the applicable Methodologies or any other deviations occurring in any of the various aspects of the project.
- 1.1.2 | Refer to the latest version of <u>Deviation Request Requirements and Procedures</u> for detailed information on the procedures and requirements.
- 1.1.3 | This form can be used in the following instances i.e.,
 - a. Deviation from GS4GG requirements and/or applicable methodologies prior to submission for certification with GS4GG.
 - b. Temporary changes to a certified project which include changes from the registered monitoring plan, the applied methodologies or other standard documents - that are expected **not** to occur beyond a given monitoring period.
- 1.1.4 | For any permanent changes to a design certified project, the requirements set in Design Change Approval Requirements and Procedures shall be followed.

2| Submission of deviation form

- 2.1.1 | This form shall be submitted in Microsoft Word (.doc) format to Gold Standard at deviations@goldstandard.org
- 2.1.2 | Forms with incomplete/inaccurate information shall not be considered for review and shall be returned to the applicant.

3| Implementation of deviation decision

3.1.1 | The decision prescribed in this form shall be considered by the entity applying for deviation for further course of action.

Table of Content

1 General Gui	delines	2
1.1	Applicability	2
2 Submission	of deviation form	2
3 Implementa	tion of deviation decision	2
4 Decision su	mmary	4
4.1	General information	4
4.2	Decision	4
4.3	Applicability to other activities	4
5 Deviation Re	quest Details	5
5.1	Submitted by	5
5.2	Details of the entity and its representative submitting the form	5
5.3	Background information	5
5.4	Project deviation history	6
6 Deviation de	tail	6
6.1	Standard document reference	7
6.2	Description of the deviation	7
6.3	VVB information	9
6.4	VVB's assessment	0
6.5	Documents:	.3

4 Decision summary

To be completed by Gold Standard

4.1 | General information

DEVIATION REFERENCE NUMBER		DEVRQ-92		
Date of decision		17/03/2025		
Decision	☑ Approved [No precondition to apply the deviation decision]			
	☐ Conditionally approved [Decision is subject to compliance with the			
	precondition defined below]			
	☐ Not approved [reason for rejection is provided in decision summary]			

4.2 Decision

4.2.1 | **Decision Summary**

The request for temporary deviation is approved, as monitoring the number of swine using daily auto-tracking devices with electronic ear tags is expected to provide more accurate data. Additionally, monitoring younger age groups (Nursery and Growing phases) will yield a conservative estimate for the average animal weight.

4.2.2 | Directions for the project developer/CME, if applicable

The project developer shall document the deviation and its decision in the monitoring report for the applicable monitoring period.

4.2.3 | Directions for the Validation and Verification Body (VVB), if applicable

The verifying VVB shall cross-check the information provided by the project developer and determine compliance with the deviation decision. The same shall also be reported in the applicable verification report.

...

4.2.4 | Directions for the Gold Standard, if applicable

The reviewer shall review the information reported by PD and VVB and determine if its consistent and accurate.

4.3 | Applicability to other activities

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
is this decision applicable to other projects under similar circumstances:-	□ Yes ⊠ No

¹ If this is marked yes, this means that any other project (PoA/VPA/PA) in similar situation may apply the decision of this deviation to their project as well. The project developer/VVB may quote this deviation decision in the relevant certification documents. This is relevant to only the projects which have already entered the certification cycle with GS4GG.

Does this decision set a precedent for future projects with similar circumstances? ²	□ Yes ⊠ No
Precedent details (if applicable to other activities)	
N/A	

5| Deviation Request Details

5.1 | Submitted by

\times	Project	developer
	CME	
	VVB	
	Other	

5.2 | Details of the entity and its representative submitting the form

Item	Information
Name ³ :	Joanna Zhu
Email ID ⁴ :	yanan.zhu@profitcarbon.com
Organisation: ⁵	Profit Carbon Environmental Energy Technology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
Are you an authorized project participant as per the cover letter submitted for this activity?	Yes □ No

5.3 | Background information

Туре	⊠ Project activity	□ PoA GSXXXX	□ VPA	
GS ID	GS 11674	NA	NA	
Host country(ies)	People's Republic of China			
Project Title	Dongrui Animal Manure Management System GHG Mitigation Project in Heyuan City			
Registry link	https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/3636			

 $^{^2}$ If this is marked yes, it means the decision is valid to all the future projects which will enter the certification cycle with the similar situation. This is relevant to all the projects which are not yet design certified with GS4GG or have not submitted their documents for preliminary review yet.

³ Name of the individual representing the entity requesting the deviation

⁴ Email ID for further correspondence related to the deviation request

⁵ The name of the entity requesting the deviation

Scale	☐ Microscale (GS)☐ Small scale☒ Large scale									
		er, if applica text here	ble	please s	pecify	/ below				
Certification Status and corresponding	□ List	ed		□ Certified design		⊠ Certific project	□ Certified project		□ Other	
date of latest status	NA		NA			31/01/20	23		NA	
Applied version of	⊠ GS4	4GG				'				
Standard		vious versior	of	Version	no.					
	Gold S	Standard		□ 1.0		□ 1.1]	⊠ 1.2		□ 2.2
Transition date, if	From	previous GS	vers	sion to G	S4G0	ì	NΑ	NA		
applicable	From	another stan	dard	to GS4	GG		NΑ	١		
	Name	Name of another standard			□ CDM					
					□ Other Name of the Standard – Insert text here					
Applicable activity requirement	□ Renewable Energy Activity Requirements □ Community Services Activity Requirements □ Land-use and Forests Activity Requirements □ Other Insert name here									
5.4 Project dev Is there any deviation was submitted to G	on requ	est(s) for the				•	/PA	(s) tha	at	□ Yes ⊠ No
Reference number	NA						_		1	
Status of the deviat	ion	□ Approved	,		Reje	cted		□ Ur	nder r	eview
Were there any find raised during any co (preliminary review performance review relevant to this dev	ertificat , desigr , etc.) t	ion step n and/or hat are	\vdash	mmary	□ No of th	ne findings				

| Deviation detail

6.1 | Standard document reference

Standard	Title	Deviation Request Requirements and Procedures
document	Version	Version 2.0
reference	Paragraph	Para 1.1.1

6.2 | Description of the deviation

	onitorin	a N _{IT} for market swine and sampling		
Temporary changes for monitoring N_{LT} for market swine and sampling of W_{site}				
□ Temporary		□ Permanent		
(e.g. not expected to occu	ır	(e.g. deviation from requirements		
beyond one monitoring pe	riod)	1		
NA				
Start date	01/11/2023			
beyond one monitoring period) prior to submission for certificat				
(L T S E A(LSZiTikkkTkksArcI(A Safriecaec	ge.g. not expected to occupe yound one monitoring per NA Start date End date As described in the monitoring Nongmu Swine scheduled to be sold and recording Nongmu Swine scheduled to be sold and recording Nongmu swine noctober 2023 and new patches since 1 November 15,2023. To Zijin Nongye swine farmore ding swine including not since November 15,2023. According to the operation of breeding swine were sold preeding swine were in the registered PDD, the following period, about 3 and the registered PDD, the following period, about 3 and for monitoring period, about 3 a	general expected to occur beyond one monitoring period) NA Start date O1/11/2 As described in the monitoring of the control of the New Scheduled to be sold and replaced to 2023. This was part of the New Scheduled to be sold and replaced 2023. This was part of the New Scheduled to be sold and replaced 2023. This was part of the New Scheduled to be sold and replaced 2023. This was part of the New Scheduled to be sold and replaced 2023. This was part of the New Scheduled to be sold and replaced 2023. The control of the New Scheduled to the sold in October 2023 and new breeding swine for November 15,2023. To Zijin Nongye swine farm, all the control of the November 15,2023. To Zijin Nongye swine farm, all the control of the operation record on the registered PDD, the annual (NLT) for Market swine was calculated and (NLT) for Market swine was calculated and (NLT) in this 3rd monitoring as before at the initial stage due to the carrier of livestock if using equation of the control of the number of swine and record the number of swine and		

sales data of marketing swine began to stabilize since the subsequent month of this 3^{rd} monitoring period and N_{LT} for market swine can be recalculated as per Option 1 (Equation (15)) as described in PDD during next verification period. Therefore, it belongs to a temporary change to the registered monitoring plan and it will not have any impact on the next monitoring period.

Similarly, according to the methodology" ACM0010" GHG emission reductions from manure management systems (Version 08.0)", each defined livestock population should be classified into a minimum of three age categories: Nursery phase, Growing phase, and Mature phase, and the average monthly weight of the swine in the farm ($W_{\rm site}$) needed to be sampled based on three age groups. However, in the $3^{\rm rd}$ monitoring period, both of the marketing swine and breeding swine in these two farms started growing from nursery phase due to replacement. Therefore, during the initial stage in $3^{\rm rd}$ monitoring period, the three age groups defined in the PDD had not yet formed, only one or two age groups existed during the first few months of this $3^{\rm rd}$ monitoring period: From the $10^{\rm th}$ month of this $3^{\rm rd}$ monitoring period, the breeding swine population gradually returned to the three age groups defined in the PDD and ACM0010, while the marketing swine population had already returned to these three age groups from the $5^{\rm th}$ month.

Therefore, due to the fact that the two swine farms only have one or two age groups in the early stage, the sampling of W_{site} will only be conducted in these one or two age groups, and the sampling quantity will still be based on the specified age group defined in PDD.

And the sampling of W_{site} was temporarily based on the one or two age groups until the three age groups were formed, and the average monthly weight of the swine in the farm (W_{site}) would be calculated based on the one or two age groups (Nursery phase and Growing phase) which will result a lower emission reduction due to the weight of the swine was lighter in Nursery phase and Growing phase than Mature phase.

Therefore, it belongs to a temporary change to the applied methodologies and registered monitoring plan and it will not have any impact on the next monitoring period.

All of the above temporary changes involved deviations in monitoring methods for N_{LT} for market swine and sampling of W_{site} due to swine replacement in two farms, comply with section 1.1.1 in the Deviation Requirements and Procedures (Version 2.0). And the monitoring method for marketing swine and sampling of W_{site} in other six swine farms still comply with the registered monitoring plan.

Reason for deviation

Due to the swine replacement conducted in these two swine farms, the number of market swine sold ($N_{p,LT}$) in this 3^{rd} monitoring period does not reach the same scale as before at the initial stage and no three age groups of swine can be produced as per registered PDD and applied methodology. Therefore, the temporary changes in this 3^{rd} monitoring methods for N_{LT} for market swine and sampling of W_{site} should be requested. These changes are in line with the Deviation Request Requirements and Procedures (Version 2.0), as they represent temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan and applied methodologies and are not expected to occur beyond the current monitoring period.

Proposed resolution

To address the temporary deviations in this 3rd monitoring period, as for N_{LT} for market swine, it would be calculated using Option 2 (Equation (16)) of ACM0010, instead of the original Option 1. The technicians in farms can monitor and record the number of swine through the auto tracking devices of electronic ear tag daily. As for W_{site}, the sampling of W_{site} was temporarily based on the two age groups during the first few months(From the 10th month of this 3rd monitoring period, the breeding swine population gradually returned to the three age groups defined in the PDD and ACM0010, while the marketing swine population had already returned to these three age groups from the 5th month) of this 3rd monitoring period until the three age groups were formed, which can result a lower emission reduction due to the weight of the swine was lighter in Nursery phase and Growing phase than Mature phase(According to the ER spreadsheet, the higher the W_{site}, the higher the emission reductions). It is conservative.

Although there were temporary changes for the above two monitoring parameters during the third monitoring period, the monitoring process of the above two parameters still meets the requirements ACM0010.

Is there any potential temporary or permanent impact of deviation on other aspects of the project?

Select the relevant area:

- ☐ Project design
- □ Local stakeholder consultation
- ☐ Safeguarding principles
- ☐ SDG assessment
- ☐ Regulatory compliance
- □ Additionality
- ☐ Applicability of methodology
- ☐ Annual emission reduction volume (if yes, fill the table below)

Annual emission reduction/removal before applying deviation	Annual emission reduction/ removal after applying deviation
XYZ tCO₂e	XYZ tCO ₂ e
\square any other matrix, please specify	
NA	

Summary of the impact

The temporary changes in this 3rd monitoring period only involved monitoring methods for N_{LT} for market swine and sampling of W_{site}. It will not cause any impact on the project design, local stakeholder consultation, safeguarding principles, SDG assessment, regulatory compliance, additionality, applicability of methodology and ex-ante annual emission reductions.

6.3 | VVB information

Is a VVB opinion on the deviation request	Yes ⊠ No □
required?	If answer is yes, fill the information in section
VVB opinion shall be included, where	6.4 below.
required by the requirements under	
Deviations Request Requirements and	

<u>Procedures</u> or request is submitted by the VVB.

6.4 | VVB's assessment

The below information is to be completed by VVB, if applicable.

VVB's assessment of deviation request

Based on above descriptions from PD, VVB confirmed that there is a temporary change from the certified monitoring plan.

The 2nd periodical verification had been conducted by CCCI, and during the verification process, VVB has confirmed that all market swine and breeding swine at Lianping Nongmu Swine Farm and Zijin Nongye Swine Farm were scheduled to be sold and replaced between October 2023 and November 2023 which have been reflected and assessed in the 2nd verification report.

Based on this reason and via the actual situation of the project through checking the operation record of these two swine farms in this 3rd monitoring period, VVB verified that about 30 days of marketing swine and about 50 days of breeding swine were introduced in the two farms during the 3rd monitoring period.

The changes of the two involved farms created a temporary change from the certified monitoring plan due to the number of market swine sold $N_{p,LT}$ (which is used for calculation of N_{LT} of market swine) in the 3^{rd} monitoring period does not reach the same scale as before at the initial stage and no three age groups of swine can be produced which may temporarily impact the sampling method of W_{site} in the registered PDD.

PD has proposed alternative monitoring arrangements for above two monitoring parameters for the non-conforming monitoring period. i.e. 3rd periodical as above descriptions which has been assessed as below,

For N_{LT} , the calculation of N_{LT} for market swine was requested to change by using Option 2 (Equation (16)) of ACM0010 i.e. $N_{LT} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{365} N_{AA,LT}}{365}$. Via checking the applied

methodology, VVB confirmed that the original method in the registered PDD is Option 1 and the methodology provides Option 2 which can also be used for calculation of N_{LT} for market swine, and based on the monitoring method which has been provided in the registered PDD, VVB confirmed that the $N_{AA,LT}$ of market swine can be monitored by using unique electronic ear tag in each farm.

Hence, for this monitoring parameter, VVB confirmed that PD has applied reasonable and methodology permitted alternative method to the calculations to the extent required to ensure that GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals will not be overestimated as a result of the deviation.

For W_{site} , due to both of the marketing swine and breeding swine in these two farms started growing from nursery phase due to replacement in the 3^{rd} monitoring period, hence it will not match the monitoring sampling method in the applied methodology that requests each defined livestock population should be classified into a minimum of three age categories: Nursery phase, Growing phase, and Mature phase, and the average monthly weight of the swine in the farm (W_{site}) needed to be sampled based on three age groups.

Via checking the operation record of these two swine farms in this 3rd monitoring period, VVB confirmed that during the initial stage in 3rd monitoring period, one or two age groups existed during the first few months: From the 10th month of this 3rd monitoring period, the breeding swine population gradually returned to the three age groups defined in the PDD and ACM0010, while the marketing swine population had already returned to these three age groups from the 5th month, the three age groups of the swine can be achieved.

So the sampling of W_{site} was temporarily based on the two age groups during the first 9 months of this 3rd monitoring period until the three age groups were formed, and the average monthly weight of the swine in the farm (W_{site}) would be calculated based on the two age groups (Nursery phase and Growing phase) which will result a lower emission reduction due to the weight of the swine was lighter in Nursery phase and Growing phase than Mature phase.

Therefore, VVB confirmed that for this monitoring parameter, PD has applied conservative factors to the calculations to the extent required to ensure that GHG anthropogenic emission reductions or net GHG removals will not be overestimated as a result of the deviation.

And PD has confirmed that the changes have occurred due to reasons beyond their control but is temporary in nature and the project will revert back to its original design after the 3rd monitoring period.

of deviation request

VVB's assessment of impact Based on above total assessment, VVB verified that there are temporary changes from the certified monitoring plan, and, VVB determined that the change complies with the relevant requirements as stated in paragraphs 3.2.3 & Deviations Approval Requirements and 3.2.4 Procedures version 2.0 as applicable.

> VVB confirmed that PD has proposed alternative monitoring arrangements and applied the conservative approach for the non-conforming 3rd monitoring period to that GHG emission reductions anthropogenic GHG removals will not be overestimated as a result of the deviation.

> VVB confirmed that the temporary change from the certified monitoring plan only be applicable to the 3rd monitoring period and will revert back to its original design after the 3rd monitoring period.

		Furthe	rmore, as the assessment to the temporary change
		from th	ne certified monitoring plan, VVB confirmed that the
		tempo	rary changes in this 3 rd monitoring period only
		involve	ed monitoring methods for N _{LT} for market swine and
		sampli	ng method of W _{site} .
		Thus, I	pased on the change principle, VVB verified that it
		will no	t cause any impact on the project design, local
		stakeh	older consultation, safeguarding principles, SDG
		assess	ment, regulatory compliance, baseline scenario,
		additio	nality, applicability of methodology and ex ante
		estima	tion of annual emission reductions.
VVB recommendation		The deviation is applicable to the 3 rd monitoring period	
VVB details	VVB name:		China Certification Center, Inc.
	Auditor name(s):		Hong Dandan
	Email (s):		hong_dandan@126.com

6.5 | Documents:

6.5.1 | List of documents provided (note that once a decision has been made by Gold Standard, this deviation form will be made public on the Gold Standard website. Kindly refrain from including any confidential information in the form.)

Document 1. operation record of two swine farms in this 3rd monitoring period

Document 2.

Document n.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

VERSION NUMBER	RELEASE DATE	DESCRIPTION
6.0	12.11.2024	Editorial and structural changes to the template
5.0	11.04.2022	Additional information added:
		 date of listing, design certification, transition standard version specific reference to a requirement deviated from any previous deviations/design changes approved Guidance on VVB opinion
4.0	14.01.2021	Editorial changes
3.0	16.07.2020	Editorial changes
2.0	03.05.2018	Editorial changes
1.0	01.07.2017	Initial adoption