FORM

FORM - DEVIATION REQUEST SUBMISSION

PUBLICATION DATE: **20/05/2026**
VERSION: **6.1**
NEXT PLANNED UPDATE: **15/05/2028**

RELATED DOCUMENTS
– Deviations Approval Requirements and Procedures

CONTACT DETAILS
The Gold Standard Foundation
International Environment House 2
Chemin de Balexert 7-9
1219 Châtelaine Geneva, Switzerland
Tel +41 22 788 70 80
Email help@goldstandard.org

1| General Guidelines

1.1 | Applicability

1.1.1 | This form is to be completed for projects (project activities/PoAs/VPAs) seeking deviation or is/are at a risk of deviating from any applicable requirements, GS4GG-specific requirements listed in the applicable Methodologies or any other deviations occurring in any of the various aspects of the project.

1.1.2 | Refer to the latest version of Deviation Request Requirements and Procedures for detailed information on the procedures and requirements.

1.1.3 | This form can be used in the following instances i.e.,

a. Deviation from GS4GG requirements and/or applicable methodologies prior to submission for certification with GS4GG.

b. Temporary changes to a certified project - which include changes from the registered monitoring plan, the applied methodologies or other standard documents - that are expected **not** to occur beyond a given monitoring period.

1.1.4 | For any permanent changes to a design certified project, the requirements set in Design Change Approval Requirements and Procedures shall be followed.

2| Submission of deviation form

2.1.1 | This form shall be submitted in Microsoft Word (.doc) format to Gold Standard at help@goldstandard.org

2.1.2 | Forms with incomplete/inaccurate information shall not be considered for review and shall be returned to the applicant.

3| Implementation of deviation decision

3.1.1 | The decision prescribed in this form shall be considered by the entity applying for deviation for further course of action.

Table of Content

1| General Guidelines 2
1.1 | Applicability 2
2| Submission of deviation form 2
3| Implementation of deviation decision 2
4| Decision summary 4
4.1 | General information 4
4.2 | Decision 4
4.3 | Applicability to other activities 5
5| Deviation Request Details 6
5.1 | Submitted by 6
5.2 | Details of the entity and its representative submitting the form 6
5.3 | Background information 6
5.4 | Project deviation history 7
6| Deviation detail 8
6.1 | Standard document reference 8
6.2 | Description of the deviation 8
6.3 | VVB information 16
6.4 | VVB's assessment 16
6.5 | Documents: 17

4| Decision summary

To be completed by Gold Standard Secretariat

4.1 | General information

DEVIATION REFERENCE NUMBER	DEVRQ-472
Date of decision	26/08/2026
Decision	☐ **Approved** [No precondition to apply the deviation decision] ☒ **Conditionally approved** [Decision is subject to compliance with the precondition defined below] ☐ **Not approved** [reason for rejection is provided in decision summary]

4.2 | Decision

4.2.1 | **Decision Summary**

Given that the deviation request is only for one monitoring period (16/04/2024-31/12/2025) and given that under RECH V5.0 (the successor to TPDDTEC v4.0), the cap value for baseline fuel consumption has been revised upward to 1.25 tonnes/person/year. The deviation request is conditionally approved for the following conditions:

1. The KPT-derived value shall be applied as Pb,y for the monitoring period 16/04/2024–31/12/2025 only.
2. The VVB shall verify that:
   - The KPT was conducted in accordance with the applicable KPT protocol as referenced in TPDDTEC v4.0
   - The sample size and sampling methodology meet the required confidence/precision levels. i.e., 90/10 precision is required. If not met, the Lower Bound value shall be used
   - The KPT results are representative of the project boundary population.

4.2.2 | Directions for the project developer/CME, if applicable

The project developer shall note that the decision is based on the information provided in the deviation request form and only against the applicable standard requirement quoted in the form below by the project developer. The project developer shall comply with all other applicable standard requirements until unless specifically mentioned in the deviation decision. The project developer shall document the deviation request, its implications, and GS' decision in the appropriate section of the project document.

4.2.3 | Directions for the Validation and Verification Body (VVB), if applicable

The verifying VVB shall, through appropriate means at disposal, evaluate that the project's compliance with the above-mentioned conditions and provide VVB opinion in the verification report.

4.2.4 | Directions for the Gold Standard, if applicable

The review team shall check the information reported by the project developer and the VVB for appropriateness, accuracy and consistency.

4.3 | Applicability to other activities

Is this decision applicable to other projects under similar circumstances?[1]	☐ Yes ☒ No
Does this decision set a precedent for future projects with similar circumstances?[2]	☐ Yes ☒ No
Precedent details (if applicable to other activities) NA	

[1] If this is marked yes, this means that any other project (PoA/VPA/PA) in similar situation may apply the decision of this deviation to their project as well. The project developer/VVB may quote this deviation decision in the relevant certification documents. This is relevant to only the projects which have already entered the certification cycle with GS4GG.

[2] If this is marked yes, it means the decision is valid to all the future projects which will enter the certification cycle with the similar situation. This is relevant to all the projects which are not yet design certified with GS4GG or have not submitted their documents for preliminary review yet.

5| Deviation Request Details

To be completed by the entity requesting deviation - (Project Developer/Coordinating and Managing Entity and/or VVB)

5.1 | Submitted by

☒ Project developer
☐ CME
☐ VVB
☐ Other (specify…)

5.2 | Details of the entity and its representative submitting the form

Item	Information
Name[3] :	ECOLIFE Conservation
Email ID[4] :	admin@ecolifeconservation.org/ tmolina@ecolifeconservation.org
Organization:[5]:	ECOLIFE Conservation
Are you an authorized project participant as per the cover letter submitted for this activity?	☒ Yes ☐ No

5.3 | Background information

Type	☒ Project activity	☐ PoA GSXXXX	☐ VPA
GS ID	*GS7783*	*GS XXXX* *GS XXXX*	*GS XXXX* *GS XXXX*
Host country(ies)	Mexico		
Project Title	ECOLIFE Conservation Patsari Improved Cookstove Project Monarch Butterfly Biosphere Reserve		
Registry link	**https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/2626**		
Scale	☐ Microscale (GS) ☐ Small scale ☒ Large scale ☐ Other, if applicable please specify below *Insert text here*		

[3] Name of the individual representing the entity requesting the deviation
[4] Email ID for further correspondence related to the deviation request
[5] The name of the entity requesting the deviation

Certification Status and corresponding date of latest status	☐ Listed	☐ Certified design[6]	☒ Certified project[7]	☐ Other *If other, specify here*
	dd/mm/yyyy	*dd/mm/yyyy*	*16/04/2021*	*dd/mm/yyyy*

Applied version of Standard	☒ GS4GG				
	☐ Previous version of Gold Standard	Version no.			
		☐ 1.0	☐ 1.1	☐ 1.2	☐ 2.2

Transition date, if applicable	From previous GS version to GS4GG	*dd/mm/yyyy*
	From another standard to GS4GG	*dd/mm/yyyy*
	Name of another standard	☐ CDM
		☐ Other Name of the Standard – Insert text here

Applicable activity requirement	☐ Renewable Energy Activity Requirements ☒ Community Services Activity Requirements ☐ Land-use and Forests Activity Requirements ☐ Agriculture Activity Requirements ☐ Blue Carbon and Freshwater Wetlands Activity Requirements ☐ Engineered removals Activity Requirements ☐ Other *Insert name here*

5.4 | Project deviation history

Is there any deviation request(s) for the same project activity/PoA/VPA(s) that was submitted to GS previously? If yes, below information.			☒ Yes ☐ No
Reference number	SC-46		
Status of the deviation	☒ Approved	☐ Rejected	☐ Under review

Were there any findings (CL, CAR, FAR) raised during any certification step (preliminary review, design and/or performance review etc.) that are relevant to this deviation request?	☐ Yes ☒ No **Summary of the findings** *Summary of the CL, CAR, FAR not more than 200 words. Include reference to document, page number*

[6] Design certification date
[7] Date of first issuance approval by Gold Standard

6| Deviation detail

To be completed by the entity requesting deviation (Project Developer/Coordinating and Managing Entity and/or VVB)

6.1 | Standard document reference

Standard document reference	Title	REDUCED EMISSIONS FROM COOKING AND HEATING: Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized
	Version	V 4.0
	Paragraph	ICS 18

6.2 | Description of the deviation

Title	Cap value for baseline wood consumption (Pb,y) under TPDDTEC v4.0.	
Confirm the nature of changes related to deviation	☒ Temporary (e.g. not expected to occur beyond one monitoring period)	☐ Permanent (e.g. deviation from requirements prior to submission for certification)
Relevant monitoring period, if applicable	Start date	16/04/2024
	End date	31/12/2025
	☐ NA	
Summarise the changes	Ecolife disseminates "Patsari" efficient cookstove models in the regions of the State of Mexico, State of Michoacán, and State of Queretaro, Mexico. Replacing traditional open-fire cooking technology provides the opportunity to address global issues of pressure on forest resources, indoor air pollution, climate change, and sustainable economic development at the rural community level through simple technology intervention. Ecolife has used the TPDDTEC methodology since registration with Gold Standard. The PD recently underwent the first Design Certification Renewal which requires updating the version of the methodology applied (from the "Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption, v3.1 (TPDDTEC v3.1)" to "REDUCED EMISSIONS FROM COOKING AND HEATING: Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 4.0"). The TPDDTEC v4.0 sets a cap value of 0.95 tonnes/person*year of fuelwood (on equivalent terms) for the data/parameter ID ICS 18 "Pb,y, Quantity of fuel that is consumed in baseline scenario b during year y" (Figure 1 below); however, this cap does not account for geographical location and is found not realistic for fuel consumption patterns in Latin America. This is supported by an analysis published in 2022 by Cardoso et al., based on Kitchen Performance Tests conducted in 134 households across 10 rural communities in four regions of Michoacán State, Central Mexico. The study reported an average baseline fuelwood consumption of 3.02 kg per adult standard (AdSTD) per day, equivalent to 1.10 tonnes per AdSTD per year, which exceeds the TPDDTEC v4.0 cap of 0.95 tonnes/person·year. Although the study expresses consumption per adult standard rather than per person, the	

results provide independent evidence that baseline fuelwood consumption in rural Latin American settings can exceed the default cap established in TPDDTEC v4.0. The baseline fuelwood consumption measured in this project also exceeds the TPDDTEC v4.0 cap, further supporting that the default value may underestimate baseline fuelwood consumption for rural households in Latin America.

Data/parameter ID	ICS 18
Data / Parameter:	$P_{b,y}$
Data unit:	mass or volume units/household-day, mass or volume units/person-meal, etc.
Description:	Quantity of fuel that is consumed in baseline scenario b during year y
Source of data:	Baseline performance field tests - see section 4.1.
Monitoring frequency:	At the start of crediting period (fixed for one crediting period) In case the project involves the progressive installation, the baseline values shall be representative of new end users cooking practices included in the projects after registration. If there are changes to the characteristics of the end users (cooking practices and/or living standards), the project developer shall conduct baseline KPTs for new end-users and include as a new scenario or adjust the values that have been provided at the time of project registration.
QA/QC procedures:	Compliance with the general requirements for sampling (Section 4.4), general requirements for QA/QC (Section 4.5) and Annex 2 Kitchen performance test. If the values resulting from the baseline KPTs are higher than the following **threshold value** (on equivalent terms), then the results shall be further substantiated by independent third-party studies that are specific to the project region, including but not limited to government publications, peer-reviewed literature, third party assessments (for example – WISDOM, FAO, UN and similar organizations) and/or official data or statistics about cooking technologies and fuel use. In any case, the value applied shall not be higher than the **cap value** (on equivalent terms). **Threshold value**: 0.75 tonnes/person*year of fuelwood **Cap value**: 0.95 tonnes/person*year of fuelwood
Any comment:	Used to calculate SFS under method 1, and SE under method 3. Applicable adjustment factors may be applied.

Figure 1. Pb,y parameter table (TPDDTEC 4.0)

UNFCCC data that disaggregates PDD claims of baseline per capita fuelwood consumption by region where Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) averaged at ~1.1 tons per year (see Figure 2 below). This can also be confirmed when analyzing different Gold Standard projects implemented in Latin America, in which eight out of nine Gold Standard registered projects, including Ecolife, showed a baseline fuel consumption above the cap set by the TPDDTEC v4.0 (Table 1 below), with a total average Pb,y of 1.083 tonnes/ person*year.

Average annual consumption of woody biomass

	UN and DHS		PDD values	
Region	No.	tpc/yr	No.	tpc/yr
SSA	33	0.59	58	0.87
W Asia & N Africa	1	0.59	0	-
LAC	8	1.10	6	1.11
E Asia & Pacific	7	0.44	10	0.95
South Asia	5	0.57	35	0.40
Eur & Cent Asia	4	0.32	0	-
Total	58	0.62	109	0.74

(from Gaj/UNFCCC)

Figure 2. Average annual consumption of woody biomass

Project	Methodology	Location	Pb,y of the Project	Difference Fuel consumption above or below the cap
GS7783 (Current MP)	TPDDTEC v4.0	Mexico	1.10 tonnes/person/year 4.92 HH size 14.78 kg/household/day	0.15 Above cap
GS1988 PoA GS2758 - VPA1	TPDDTEC v4.0	Honduras	0.9627 t/pp/y 0.013305 Tonnes/hh/day person-meal per adult-equivalent	0.0127 above the cap
GS1988 PoA GS12798 - VPA4	TPDDTEC v4.0	Honduras	0.9627 t/pp/y 0.013305 Tonnes/hh/day person-meal per adult-equivalent	0.0127 above the cap

GS1988 PoA GS10457 - VPA2	TPDDTEC v2.0	Honduras	1.4003 t/person/year (3.67 HH size) 0.014080 t/household/day	0.45 above the cap
GS1377PoA GS2439 – VPA2	TPDDTEC v4.0	Guatemala	1.49 tons/person/year (4 HH size) Pb ECC Ecococina =0.0163 t/stove*day Pb ECC Ecoplancha = 0.0163 t/stove*day	0.54 above the cap
GS1377PoA GS2441 – VPA4	TPDDTEC v4.0	Mexico	1.277 (Pb,AURA = 0.0156 t/stove*day) 0.769 (Pb,FPP Onil = 0.0094 t/stove*day) 0.876 (Pb,FPP Patsari = 0.0107 t/stove*day) 0.917 (Pb,GIRA = 0.0112 t/stove*day)	0.327 above the cap (Aura) 0.181 below the cap (FPP) 0.074 below the cap (Patsari) 0.033 below the cap (Gira)
GS1005 PoA GS685 - VPA 1	TPDDTEC v4.0	Peru	1.22 (5.4 HH size) Pb= 0.0114 tons/days/stove	0.27 above the cap
GS1005 PoA GS1365 - VPA 3	TPDDTEC v 2.0	Peru	0.73 tons/person/year (5.4 HH size) Pb= 0.0108 tons/day/HH	0.22 below the cap
GS1005 PoA GS5107 - VPA 5	TPDDTEC v 2.0	Peru	Pb= 0.0114 tonnes/day/HH (3.70 HH size) 1.125 tonne/person/year	0.18 above the cap

Practical Example

Cap Value	0.95	tonnes/person*year of fuelwood
	2.60	Kg/person*day of fuelwood

	0.87	Kg/person*meal of fuelwood (assuming 3 meals per day)

Assuming an average of 4 people per household (HH), the cap values translate to:

Capped values Pb,y Baseline Fuel consumption	3.80	tonnes/HH*year of fuelwood (4 person/HH)
	10.41	Kg/HH*day of fuelwood (4 person/HH)
	3.47	Kg/HH*meal of fuelwood (assuming 3 meals per day and 4 person/HH)

Cooking a meal for 4 people with 3.47 Kg of firewood, for example, in three stone stoves, seems improbable.

If we assume water is boiled as treatment, the wood consumption would be 5.55 Kg.
This is assuming 360.83 kJ/L; 5.5 L/per person/day; and 0.1 default efficiency for three a stone stove. In this example, the remaining firewood available for cooking would be 4.86 Kg/HH*day of fuelwood (4 person/HH). This is 1.62 Kg/HH*meal of fuelwood (assuming 3 meals per day, and 4 person/HH), quite difficult especially for a region like Latin America where diet is based on beans and maize (which require substantial cook times). The cap baseline fuel of 3.47 Kg/HH*meal of fuelwood is not enough for cooking such energy-intensive dishes, even when we do not consider
water boiling.

Other projects under TPDDTEC v4.0 without a baseline fuel cap
GS2434 PoA - GS2435 VPA 01 Gold Standard Certified Project applies the methodology "REDUCED EMISSIONS FROM COOKING AND HEATING: Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 4.0".

The project is located in Mongolia (Asia region) and uses coal briquettes along with a minority use of wood as fuel. Coal is a denser fuel, so it burns more efficiently than wood, producing more heat per ton than wood (NCVcoal = 0.0282 TJ/ton vs. NCVwood = 0.0156 TJ/ton);meaning that the tonnes/person*year of fuel consumption of coal applied by this project are evidently above the cap. Even so, this
project has successfully verified its emissions reductions with a baseline fuel consumption above the cap, which sets a precedent for Ecolife that Gold Standard considers each project situation to set the baseline fuel consumption.

Data / Parameter	$P_{b,y}$
Unit	tonnes/household-day
Description	Quantity of fuel that is consumed in baseline scenario b during year y
Source of data	Baseline performance field tests
Value(s) applied	VPA 1


Household-district	Value (t/HH-day)
Ger_Bayan	0.0134
Ger_Song	0.0126
Ger_Others	0.0130
House_Bayan	0.0126
House_Song	0.0122
House_Others	0.0124

Figure 3. Pb,y, 2nd Monitoring Period, Monitoring Report v1.3, dated 22/09/2023

Table 2 – Product Vintages

		Amount Achieved
Start Dates	**End Dates**	**VERs**
06/04/2022	31/12/2022	42,239 **(VPA 1)** 42,995 **(VPA 2)** 37,041 **(VPA 3)** 40,629 **(VPA 4)** 45,370 **(VPA 5)**
01/01/2023	05/04/2023	14,861 **(VPA 1)** 15,128 **(VPA 2)** 13,033 **(VPA 3)** 14,295 **(VPA 4)** 15,963 **(VPA 5)**
Total		**VPA 1:** 57,100 **VPA 2:** 58,123 **VPA 3:** 50,074 **VPA 4:** 54,924 **VPA 5:** 61,333

Figure 4. VERs achieved, 2nd Monitoring Period, Monitoring Report v1.3, dated 22/09/2023



ISSUANCE LIST

VINTAGE	QUANTITY	PRODUCT	ISSUANCE DATE	ACTIONS
2024	11678	VER	Oct 22, 2025	VIEW
2023	32846	VER	Oct 22, 2025	VIEW
2023	14861	VER	Oct 19, 2023	VIEW
2022	42239	VER	Oct 19, 2023	VIEW
2022	16300	VER	Jun 12, 2023	VIEW
2021	63319	VER	Jun 12, 2023	VIEW
2020	48292	VER	Jun 12, 2023	VIEW

Figure 5. Issuance list at Gold Standard Registry

Methodological Evolution of Baseline Fuel Consumption Caps under RECH v5.0

The recently published methodology, Reduced Emissions from Cooking and Heating (RECH) v5.0, has explicitly addressed the limitations associated with the previously applied cap on baseline fuel consumption. Under RECH v5.0, the analogous parameter, Pb,mean (Baseline Fuel Consumption), is subject to a Methodological Baseline Cap (PCAP) of 1.25 tonnes/person/year of fuelwood (Figure 6), or an equivalent amount of energy, for Primary Fuelwood Users, defined as households in which at least 75% of cooking events are undertaken using fuelwood.

	P_{CAP} (Methodological Baseline Cap) The maximum allowable ceiling for unadjusted baseline fuel consumption to prevent over-crediting of historical inefficiencies or statistical KPT outliers.
Description:	Measured or default mean quantity of fuel consumed in baseline scenario b
Data unit:	tonnes/household/day
Purpose of data:	☒ Baseline emissions Calculation of unadjusted Baseline Emissions.
Value(s) applied:	At the start of the crediting period (fixed for 5 years). Mandatory update at CP renewal. (For industrial applications, update based on remaining lifetime assessment)
Source of data:	Determined ex-ante using Option A (B-KPT), Option B (Default), or Option C (MSL) as per Section 7.3.6. The B -KPT shall adhere to standardized KPT protocols. If B-KPT results exceed the threshold value, they shall be substantiated by independent third-party studies (e.g., government publications, WISDOM, FAO, UN). In any case, the value applied shall not exceed the cap value. a. **Primary Fuelwood Users** (≥75% of cooking events with wood): i. Threshold value: 0.75 tonnes/person/year of air-dried wood (or equivalent energy). If exceeded, substantiation by independent third-party studies is required. ii. Cap value (P_{CAP}): 1.25 tonnes/person/year of fuelwood (or equivalent energy). b. **Primary Charcoal Users or Mixed Baselines:** i. Threshold value: 0.20 tonnes/person/year of charcoal (or equivalent energy). ii. Cap Value (PCAP): 0.40 tonnes/person/year of charcoal (or equivalent energy).

Figure 6. Pb,y parameter table (RECH 5.0)

The introduction of this revised cap represents an important evolution in Gold Standard's methodological framework and provides a clear indication that the previously applied value of 0.95 tonnes/person/year may not adequately capture baseline fuel consumption levels across all geographical and socio-economic contexts, particularly within the Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) region. The revision acknowledges that fuelwood consumption patterns are highly context-specific and may exceed the former cap due to differences in household cooking practices, climatic conditions, fuel availability, and cultural preferences.
Accordingly, the revision incorporated into RECH v5.0 provides strong methodological precedent and technical justification for the consideration of a higher cap for LAC countries. Such an approach would be fully consistent with the direction of Gold Standard's methodological evolution and would enable baseline conditions to be represented more accurately while maintaining the principles of conservativeness, transparency, and environmental integrity.

Reason for deviation	During the current monitoring period, a Kitchen Performance Test (KPT) was conducted in accordance with (KPT Protocol) to determine actual per-capita fuel consumption among project households. The KPT results show a measured specific consumption of 1.10 ton/person/year. This deviation is requested because the field-measured value is considered more representative of actual local conditions (cooking patterns) than the fixed cap, which may not reflect the specific circumstances of this project area. The KPT was implemented with a statistically representative sample size

	and following the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures required under the methodology, supporting the reliability of the measured value.
Proposed resolution	Ecolife requests that Gold Standard consider the baseline fuel consumption on a per-geographical-location basis and allow Ecolife to apply the Baseline Fuel Consumption value resulting from its Kitchen Performance Test (KPT) results, 1.10 tonnes/person/year, available for first verification following Design Certification/Design Certification Renewal, rather than applying the methodological cap of 0.95 tonnes/person/year of fuelwood.
Is there any potential temporary or permanent impact of deviation on other aspects of the project?	Select the relevant area: ☒ Project design ☐ Local stakeholder consultation ☐ Safeguarding principles ☒ SDG assessment ☐ Regulatory compliance ☐ Additionality ☐ Applicability of methodology ☒ Annual emission reduction volume *(if yes, fill the table below)* Annual emission reduction/removal before applying deviation: *12,842* tCO_2e Annual emission reduction/ removal after applying deviation: *17,666* tCO_2e ☐ any other matrix, please specify… ☐ NA
Summary of the impact	Describe the impact of the deviation on each relevant aspect of the project as selected above. Please substantiate the impact assessment with relevant and verifiable data/information.
Insert text here	

6.3 | VVB information

Is a VVB opinion on the deviation request required? *VVB opinion shall be included, where required by the requirements under Deviations Request Requirements and Procedures or request is submitted by the VVB.*	Yes ☐ No ☒ *If answer is yes, fill the information in section 6.4 below.*

6.4 | VVB's assessment

The below information is to be completed by VVB, if applicable.

VVB's assessment of deviation request	Please confirm the nature of deviation.
VVB's assessment of impact of deviation request	

VVB recommendation		
VVB details	VVB name:	
	Auditor name(s):	
	Email (s):	

6.5 | Documents:

6.5.1 | List of documents provided (*note that once a decision has been made by Gold Standard, this deviation form will be made public on the Gold Standard website. Kindly refrain from including any confidential information in the form.*)

Document 1. Monitoring Report ECOLIFE_V1.4_4 MP CLEAN.pdf **(Document Under Performance Review – Request ID 46568)**

Document 2. ER Calculations ECOLIFE _4MP v1.4 29 June 2026.xlsx **(Document Under Performance Review – Request ID 46568)**

Document 3. KPT Analysis Ecolife v1.3 11 June 26.xlsx **(Document Under Performance Review – Request ID 46568)**

Document 4. Project Survey Analysis ECOLIFE v1.2 12 Mar 2026.xlsx **(Document Under Performance Review – Request ID 46568)**

Document 5. Longitudinal analysis and expected evolution of household fuel and stove stacking patterns in rural Mexico.pdf

DOCUMENT HISTORY

VERSION NUMBER	RELEASE DATE	DESCRIPTION
6.1	15.05.2026	Editorial and structural changes to the template
6.0	12.11.2024	Editorial and structural changes to the template
5.0	11.04.2022	Additional information added: - date of listing, design certification, transition - standard version - specific reference to a requirement deviated from - any previous deviations/design changes approved - Guidance on VVB opinion
4.0	14.01.2021	Editorial changes
3.0	16.07.2020	Editorial changes
2.0	03.05.2018	Editorial changes
1.0	01.07.2017	Initial adoption